SBC Communications Inc. 1401 I Street, N.W. Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20005 Phone 202 326-8888 Fax 202 408-4806



August 27, 1998

EX PARTE PRESENTATION

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554 EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED

AUG 2 7 1990

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WESTER OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of Application by SBC Communications Inc., Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, and Southwestern Bell Communications Services, Inc. d/b/a Southwestern Bell Long Distance for Provision of In-Region, InterLATA Services in Oklahoma, CC Docket No. 97-121

Dear Ms. Salas:

Re:

Enclosed herewith are the Southwestern Bell performance measurement results for the month of July 1998. In an <u>ex parte</u> letter dated May 13, 1998, Southwestern Bell submitted its first set of operations support systems (OSS) performance measurement results and solicited the Staff's input regarding the format of the data to be filed going forward. Furthermore, as requested in the May 13 correspondence, Southwestern Bell invites the Staff to identify any areas of concern based upon its review of these results.

Please note a recent change in the reporting methodology for Missouri and Kansas. Missouri has been separated into the St. Louis and Kansas City, Missouri areas. Kansas is now shown as the Kansas City, Kansas area. We have also begun to produce an additional document each month called the "Performance Measurement Report", which is designed to compare the performance results for each measurement. In those cases where the objective is to meet a specific standard, a comparison of the performance results with the standard is shown. In other cases where the objective is parity, a side-by-side comparison of the performance results experienced by the CLECs and Southwestern Bell is shown. Where a standard is not met or parity is not achieved, an explanation is given in the "Comments" section of the report or there may an indication that Southwestern Bell has initiated an investigation into the reasons for the disparity.

In accordance with the Commission's rules regarding ex parte communications, an original and two copies of this letter and the attachment are provided for the official record.

No. of Copies recid

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas August 27, 1998 Page 2

Please contact me should you have any questions concerning the foregoing.

Respectfully submitted,

Todd F. Silbergeld

Director-Federal Regulatory

Toda J. Siryun

Attachment

cc: Ms. K. Brown (letter only)

Ms. C. Mattey (letter only)

Mr. M. Pryor (letter only)

Ms. A. Wright

verage Response Time for OSS Pre-Order Interfaces in seconds	CLEC/SWBT	Standard	Within Standard	COMMENTS
DATAGATE - Address Verification	3.20	5.0	Yes	
DATAGATE - Request for Telephone Number	3.90	4.0	Yes	
DATAGATE - Request for CSR	n/a	6.0	n/a	
DATAGATE - Service Availability	7.50	3.0	No	Under Investigation
DATAGATE - Service Appointment Scheduling	0.70	2.0	Yes	
DATAGATE - Dispatch Required	11.80	17.0	Yes	
VERIGATE - Address Verification	2.80	5.0	Yes	
VERIGATE - Request for Telephone Number	4.80	4.0	No	Under Investigation
VERIGATE - Request for CSR	2.20	7.0	Yes	
VERIGATE - Service Availability	16.00	11.0	No	Under Investigation
VERIGATE - Service Appointment Scheduling	0.70	2.0	Yes	
VERIGATE - Dispatch Required	10.20	17.0	Yes	
SE Average Response Time in seconds	CLEC	SWBT		COMMENTS
Division - Missouri	0.74	0.94		COMMENTS
Division - Arkansas	0.74	1.47	j	
Division - Kansas	1.03	1.17		
Division - Houston	0.98	1.17	l l	
Division - Oklahoma	1.41	1.20	1	
Division - Dallas	0.95	1.26	•	
Division - Danas Division - San Antonio	1	1.48	1	
Division - San Altionio	1.22	1.40		
S Interface Percent Availability	CLEC/SWBT			COMMENTS
DATAGATE	100.00%			
VERIGATE	99.70%		ł	
LEX	99.70%			
EDI	n/a			
TOOLBAR	99.50%		j	
RAF by CLEC				Varies by CLEC
nsumer EASE Availability - By Division (CPU Platform)	CLEC/SWBT			COMMENTS
Division - Missouri	100.00%			
Division - Arkansas	100.00%			
Division - Kansas	100.00%		}	
Division - Houston	99.74%		1	
Division - Oklahoma	100.00%		1	
Division - Dallas	99.95%		Ì	
Division - San Antonio	99.79%			
iness EASE Availability - By Division (CPU Platform)	CLEC/SWBT		. –	COMMENTS
Division - Missouri	100.00%			COMMENTS
Division - Missouri	100.00%		1	
Division - Arkansas	100.00%			
Division - Kansas Division - Houston	1 1		[
Division - Ciklahoma	99.79%		1	
Division - Okianoma Division - Dallas	100.00%			
Division - Dallas Division - San Antonio	100.00%		J.	

Ordering/Ordering			
% Firm Order Confirmations Received Within "x" Hours - Mechanized	CLEC		COMMENTS
Residence and Simple Business - LEX - <24 Hours	88.3%	T	
Residence and Simple Business - EDI - <24 Hours	n/a	i e	Insufficient Sample
Complex Business - LEX - <48 Hours	70.3%	1	
Complex Business - EDI - <48 Hours	n/a	1	Insufficient Sample
UNE Loop and Switch Ports - LEX - <24 Hours	82.6%	 	
UNE Loop and Switch Ports - EDI - <24 Hours	n/a	1	Insufficient Sample
Other - LEX - <24 Hours	50,0%	 	Insufficient Sample
Other - EDI - <24 Hours	n/a	\$	Insufficient Sample
Journal of the second of the s	1 129	<u> </u>	Internation Countries
6 Firm Order Confirmations Received Within "x" Hours - Manual	CLEC		COMMENTS
Residence and Simple Business - <24 Hours	97.6%		
Complex Business - Negotiated - Recd. on Time	n/a	ì	Insufficient Sample
Complex Business - (1 - 200 Lines) - <48 Hours	91.4%	1	
Complex Business - (200 + Lines) - Recd. on Time	97.6%		
UNE Loop - (1 - 50 Lines) - <24 Hours	85.7%		
UNE Loop - (50 + Lines) - <48 Hours	97.6%		
Switch Ports - <24 Hours	50.0%		Insufficient Sample
Other - <24 Hours	n/a		Insufficient Sample
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
verage Time to Return FOC	CLEC		COMMENTS
Residence and Simple Business - LEX	15.5		
Residence and Simple Business - EDI	n/a		Insufficient Sample
Complex Business - LEX	57.9		
Complex Business - EDI	n/a		Insufficient Sample
UNE Loop and Switch Ports - LEX	14.8		
UNE Loop and Switch Ports - EDI	n/a		Insufficient Sample
Other - LEX	24.7		Insufficient Sample
Other - EDI	n/a		Insufficient Sample
			
Mechanized Completions Returned Within 1 Hour of SORD Batch Cycle	CLEC		COMMENTS
LEX	99.8%		<u>.</u>
EDI	100.0%		Insufficient Sample
erage Time to Return Mechanized Completions (Hours)	CLEC		COMMENTS
LEX	0.17		
EDI	0.05		Insufficient Sample
rcent Rejects (For the Electronic Interfaces EDI and LEX)	CLEC		COMMENTS
LEX	12.7%		
[EDI	n/a		Insufficient Sample
Mechanized Rejects Returned Within 1 Hour of start of EDI/LASR Batch Process	CLEC		COMMENTS
LEX	96.9%		
EDI	n/a		Insufficient Sample
ean Time to Return Mechanized Rejects (Hours)	CLEC		COMMENTS
LEX	0.17		
EDI	n/a		Insufficient Sample
der Process % Flow Through - EASE	CLEC	SWBT	COMMENTS
Through Posting	84.1%	87.7%	
Through Completion	90.9%	91.4%	
Through SORD Distribution	92.3%	93.3%	.

illing			
	CLEC	SWBT	COMMENTS
Billing Accuracy			
CRIS Usage Bill Audit (Percent Error Rate)	0.11%	0.17%	Ì
CABS Usage Bill Audit (Percent Error Rate)	{ 0.00% }	0.00%	Insufficient Sample
CRIS Bill Audit (Percent Error Rate)	0.00%	0.00%	
Percent of Accurate and Complete Formatted Mechanized Bills	CLEC 100.0%		
Percent of Billing Records Transmitted Correctly	100.0%		
Billing Completeness - Percent Complete	96.8%		
Billing Timeliness (Mechanized Bill) - Percent on Time	66.9%		
Daily Usage Feed Timeliness - Percent on Time	93.8%		}
Percent Unbillable Usage - CRIS (AMA/ECS)	0.091%		
Percent Unbillable Usage - CABS	0.015%		

e SWBT	COMMENTS
41.92	
CMDT	COMMENTS
	COMMENTS
	SWBT n/a

rectory Assistance/Operator Services		
icolog / issistance/Operator confices	North	n Texas*
Directory Assistance - Grade of Service:	CLEC/SWBT	COMMENTS
% Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds	28.4%	
% Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds	41.9%	
% Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds	28.1%	
% Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds	19.9%	
% Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds	10.3%	}
% Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds	4.8%	
% Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds	2.3%	}
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds)	5.9	
	West	Texas*
Directory Assistance - Grade of Service:	CLEC/SWBT	COMMENTS
% Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds	34.3%	
% Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds	47.3%	
% Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds	24.4%	
% Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds	18.6%	
% Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds	10.5%	
% Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds	5.3%	
% Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds	2.8%	
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds)	5.5	
Directors Assistance Conduct Conduct		st Texas* COMMENTS
Directory Assistance - Grade of Service: % Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds	CLEC/SWBT	COMMENTS
% Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds	49.2%	
% Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds	18.8%	
% Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds	12.3%	
% Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds	5.5%	
% Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds	2.0%	
% Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds	0.7%	
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds)	4.4	
	South	Texas*
Directory Assistance - Grade of Service:	CLEC/SWBT	COMMENTS
% Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds	25.4%	
% Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds	40.7%	
% Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds	24.7%	
% Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds	16.6%)
% Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds	7.0%	J
% Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds	3.1%	
% Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds	1,7%	1
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds)	5.4	}

NOTE: * These geographic designations are aligned by Operator Services operational responsibilities and do not match SWBT market areas.

ctory Assistance/Operator Services (Continued)	North Texas*	•
Operator Services - Grade of Service:	CLEC/SWBT	COMMENTS
% Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds	38.1%	
% Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds	57.4%	
% Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds	8.2%	
% Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds	3.3%	
% Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds	0.8%	
% Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds	0.4%	
% Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds	0.1%	
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds)	3.1	
	West Texas*	
perator Services - Grade of Service:	CLEC/SWBT	COMMENTS
% Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds	35.6%	
% Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds	55.1%	
% Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds	8.4%	
% Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds	3.6%	
% Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds	1.1%	
% Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds	0.3%	
% Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds	0.2%	
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds)	3.2	
	Southeast Texas	s*
perator Services - Grade of Service:	CLEC/SWBT	COMMENTS
% Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds	52.2%	
% Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds	68.3%	
% Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds	7.2%	
% Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds	4.3%	
% Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds	1.7%	
% Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds	0.8%	
% Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds	0.4%	
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds)	2.7	
	South Texas*	
erator Services - Grade of Service:	CLEC/SWBT	COMMENTS
% Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds	41.9%	
% Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds	61.8%	
% Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds	7.2%	
% Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds	3.4%	
% Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds	1.0%	
% Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds	0.4%	
% Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds	0.4%	
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds)	2.9	[

NOTE: * These geographic designations are aligned by Operator Services operational responsibilities and do not match SWBT market areas.

ectory Assistance/Operator Services (Continued)	Eastern Missouri	
Operator Services - Grade of Service:	CLEC/SWBT	COMMENTS
% Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds	35,8%	
% Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds	51.6%	
% Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds	17.5%	
% Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds	11.4%	
% Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds	5.8%	
% Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds	3.1%	
% Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds	2.0%	
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds)	4.5	
	Kansas and Western N	Missouri Combined
Operator Services - Grade of Service:	CLEC/SWBT	COMMENTS
% Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds	33.2%	
% Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds	53.1%	
% Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds	11.7%	
% Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds	7.0%	
% Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds	2.0%	
% Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds	0.7%	
% Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds	0.3%	
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds)	3.5	
	Eastern Missouri	
irectory Assistance - Grade of Service:	CLEC/SWBT	COMMENTS
% Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds	32.9%	
% Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds	49.2%	
% Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds	18.4%	
% Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds	12.2%	
% Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds	5.9%	
% Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds	2.9%	
% Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds	1.6%	
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds)	4.6	
	Kansas and Western M	lissouri Combined
rectory Assistance - Grade of Service:	CLEC/SWBT	COMMENTS
% Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds	31.7%	
% Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds	51.6%	}
% Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds	12.5%	
% Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds	7.3%	
% Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds	2.3%	
% Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds	0.6%	
% Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds	0.3%	
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds)	1 0.0 ~ 1	■

NOTE: * These geographic designations are aligned by Operator Services operational responsibilities and do not match SWBT market areas.

	Oklahoma	
perator Services - Grade of Service:	CLEC/SWBT	COMMENTS
% Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds	26.6%	
% Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds	46.2%	
% Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds	12.5%	
% Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds	6.0%]
% Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds	1.2%	
% Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds	0.5%	
% Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds	0.3%	
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds)	3.7	
	Oklahoma	
rectory Assistance - Grade of Service:	CLEC/SWBT	COMMENTS
% Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds	14.6%	
% Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds	26.4%	
% Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds	35.5%	
% Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds	24.6%	
% Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds	11.0%	
% Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds	4.8%	
% Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds	2.4%	
70 Callo Allowered III > 25.0 Seconds	2.7%	1
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds)	7	
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds)	7 Arkansas	COMMENTS
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds) Perator Services - Grade of Service:	7 Arkansas CLEC/SWBT	COMMENTS
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds) Perator Services - Grade of Service: % Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds	7 Arkansas CLEC/SWBT 16.9%	COMMENTS
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds) Perator Services - Grade of Service: % Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds	7 Arkansas CLEC/SWBT 16.9% 32.0%	COMMENTS
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds) Perator Services - Grade of Service: % Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds	7 Arkansas CLEC/SWBT 16.9% 32.0% 26.6%	COMMENTS
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds) erator Services - Grade of Service: % Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds	7 Arkansas CLEC/SWBT 16.9% 32.0% 26.6% 17.6%	COMMENTS
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds) erator Services - Grade of Service: % Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds	7 Arkansas CLEC/SWBT 16.9% 32.0% 26.6% 17.6% 8.6%	COMMENTS
erator Services - Grade of Service: % Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds	7 Arkansas CLEC/SWBT 16.9% 32.0% 26.6% 17.6% 8.6% 4.0%	COMMENTS
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds) Perator Services - Grade of Service: % Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds	7 Arkansas CLEC/SWBT 16.9% 32.0% 26.6% 17.6% 8.6%	COMMENTS
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds) Perator Services - Grade of Service: % Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds	7 Arkansas CLEC/SWBT 16.9% 32.0% 26.6% 17.6% 8.6% 4.0% 1.6% 6	COMMENTS
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds) Perator Services - Grade of Service: % Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds Average Speed of Answer (Seconds)	7 Arkansas CLEC/SWBT 16.9% 32.0% 26.6% 17.6% 8.6% 4.0% 1.6%	COMMENTS
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds) Perator Services - Grade of Service: % Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds Average Speed of Answer (Seconds)	7 Arkansas CLEC/SWBT 16.9% 32.0% 26.6% 17.6% 8.6% 4.0% 1.6% 6 Arkansas	
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds) Perator Services - Grade of Service: % Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds Average Speed of Answer (Seconds) Pectory Assistance - Grade of Service: % Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds	Arkansas CLEC/SWBT 16.9% 32.0% 26.6% 17.6% 8.6% 4.0% 1.6% 6 Arkansas CLEC/SWBT	
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds) Perator Services - Grade of Service: % Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds Average Speed of Answer (Seconds) Pectory Assistance - Grade of Service: % Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds	Arkansas CLEC/SWBT 16.9% 32.0% 26.6% 17.6% 8.6% 4.0% 1.6% 6 Arkansas CLEC/SWBT 21.7%	
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds) Perator Services - Grade of Service: % Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds Average Speed of Answer (Seconds) Pectory Assistance - Grade of Service: % Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds	Arkansas CLEC/SWBT 16.9% 32.0% 26.6% 17.6% 8.6% 4.0% 1.6% 6 Arkansas CLEC/SWBT 21.7% 36.1%	
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds) Perator Services - Grade of Service: % Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds Average Speed of Answer (Seconds) Pectory Assistance - Grade of Service: % Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds	Arkansas CLEC/SWBT 16.9% 32.0% 26.6% 17.6% 8.6% 4.0% 1.6% 6 Arkansas CLEC/SWBT 21.7% 36.1% 24.8%	
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds) Perator Services - Grade of Service: % Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 15.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 20.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 25.0 Seconds Average Speed of Answer (Seconds) Pectory Assistance - Grade of Service: % Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in < 2.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 7.5 Seconds % Calls Answered in > 10.0 Seconds	Arkansas CLEC/SWBT 16.9% 32.0% 26.6% 17.6% 8.6% 4.0% 1.6% 6 Arkansas CLEC/SWBT 21.7% 36.1% 24.8% 16.6%	
Average Speed of Answer (Seconds) Decrator Services - Grade of Service: Calls Answered in < 1.5 Seconds	Arkansas CLEC/SWBT 16.9% 32.0% 26.6% 17.6% 8.6% 4.0% 1.6% 6 Arkansas CLEC/SWBT 21.7% 36.1% 24.8% 16.6% 7.9%	

	CLEC	SWBT	PARITY	COMMENTS
Mean Installation Interval - Field Work - Residence	1.74	2.42	Yes	
Mean Installation Interval - Field Work - Business	2.00	2.88		Insufficient Sample
Mean Installation Interval - No Field Work - Residence	1.31	0.62	No	Appears CLEC Requested Due Dates Greater than Offered Date
Mean Installation Interval - No Field Work - Business	2.74	0.86	No	Appears CLEC Requested Due Dates Greater than Offered Date
Mean Installation Interval - UNE Combos	n/a	2.55		Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 5 Days - Field Work - Residence	98.78%	95.79%	Yes	
% Installations Completed Within in 5 Days - Field Work - Business	100.00%	90.72%		Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 3 Days - No Field Work - Residence	99.27%	99.37%	Yes	
% Installations Completed Within in 3 Days - No Field Work - Business	74.81%	96.49%	No	Appears CLEC Requested Due Dates Greater than Offered Dat
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - Field Work - Residence	3.10%	5.35%	Yes	
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - Field Work - Business	0.00%	5.48%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - No Field Work - Residence	0.10%	0.03%	No	July 97 - May 98 within parity
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - No Field Work - Business	0.42%	0.22%	No	Feb 98 - June 98 within parity
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - Residence	1.55%	4.02%	Yes	
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - Business	0.00%	4.08%)	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities >30 Days - Residence	12.50%	7.61%	j	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities >30 Days - Business	n/a	10.07%	į	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities >90 Days - Residence	0.00%	0.82%	I	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities >90 Days - Business	n/a	0.00%		Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - Residence	16.50	12.16		Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - Business	n/a	12.46		Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Reports within 10 Days - Field Work - Residence	3.49%	4.38%	Yes	
% Trouble Reports within 10 Days - Field Work - Business	7.14%	2.19%	j	Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Reports within 10 Days - No Field Work - Residence	1.32%	1.76%	Yes	
% Trouble Reports within 10 Days - No Field Work - Business	0.21%	1.81%	Yes	

OTS - Maintenance	CLEC	CWDT	PARITY	COMMENTS
		SWBT		COMMENTS
Trouble Report Rate (%) - Residence	2.33%	3.38%	Yes	
Trouble Report Rate (%) - Business	0.31%	1.75%	Yes	
% Missed Repair Commitments - Dispatch - Residence	10.43%	9.77%	Yes	
% Missed Repair Commitments - Dispatch - Business	20.00%	17.12%	}	Insufficient Sample
% Missed Repair Commitments - No Dispatch - Residence	3.95%	5.61%	Yes	
% Missed Repair Commitments - No Dispatch - Business	33.33%	10.94%		Insufficient Sample
Receipt To Clear Duration - Affecting Service - Dispatch - Residence	19.68	17.52	Yes	
Receipt To Clear Duration - Affecting Service - Dispatch - Business	n/a	12.25	l	Insufficient Sample
Receipt To Clear Duration - Affecting Service - No Dispatch - Residence	26.08	6.40	l	Insufficient Sample
Receipt To Clear Duration - Affecting Service - No Dispatch - Business	16.82	4.50		Insufficient Sample
Receipt To Clear Duration - Out of Service - Dispatch - Residence	15.27	13.41	No	Aug 97 - June 98 within parity
Receipt To Clear Duration - Out of Service - Dispatch - Business	8.35	9. 06	1	Insufficient Sample
Receipt To Clear Duration - Out of Service - No Dispatch - Residence	6.91	7.58		Insufficient Sample
Receipt To Clear Duration - Out of Service - No Dispatch - Business	n/a	4.65	1	Insufficient Sample
% Out of Service (OOS) <24 Hours - Residence	93.39%	96.19%	No	Oct 97 - May 98 within parity
% Out of Service (OOS) <24 Hours - Business	100.00%	96.40%		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - Residence	3.65%	8.88%	Yes	
% Repeat Reports - Business	0.00%	7.58%	1	Insufficient Sample

Specials - Provisioning	CLEC	SWBT	PARITY	COMMENTS
Average Installation Interval - VGPL	0.00		T	
Average Installation Interval - ISDN	n/a	j	,	Insufficient Sample
Average Installation Interval - DDS	n/a	1	ļ	Insufficient Sample
Average Installation Interval - DS1	n/a	ł	1	Insufficient Sample
Average Installation Interval - DS3	n/a	j	j	Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 20 Days - VGPL	100.00%	 		
% Installations Completed Within in 20 Days - ISDN	n/a		!	Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 20 Days - DDS	n/a	1		Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 20 Days - DS1	n/a	1		Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 20 Days - DS3	n/a	1	İ	insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - VGPL	n/a	4.65%	1	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - ISDN	0.00%	3.17%	ł	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - DDS	n/a	0.00%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - DS1	n/a	n/a	1	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - DS3	n/a	0.00%	ł	Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - VGPL	n/a	1.88%	1	Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - ISDN	0.00%	9.23%	ļ.	Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - DDS	n/a	0.00%	1	Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - DS1	n/a	n/a	[Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - DS3	n/a	0.00%	ł	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - VGPL	n/a	0.52%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - ISDN	0.00%	1.59%	1	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - DDS	n/a	0.00%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - DS1	n/a	n/a]	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - DS3	n/a	0.00%	1	Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - VGPL	n/a	3.00	 	Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - ISDN	n/a	2.00	j	Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - DDS	n/a	n/a	}	Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - DS1	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - DS3	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
pecials - Maintenance	CLEC	SWBT	PARITY	COMMENTS
Mean Time to Restore - VGPL (Dispatch)	n/a	8.33	I Total	Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - ISDN (Dispatch)	2.68	16.99	}	Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DDS (Dispatch)	n/a	11.71		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DS1 (Dispatch)	n/a	102.99		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DS3 (Dispatch)	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - VGPL (No Dispatch)	3.47	4.86		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - ISDN (No Dispatch)	n/a	24.90		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DDS (No Dispatch)	n/a	12.25		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DS1 (No Dispatch)	n/a	6.13		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DS3 (No Dispatch)	n/a	4.23		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - VGPL	0.00%	5.26%		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - ISDN	0.00%	1.52%		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - DDS	n/a	6.12%		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - DS1	n/a	3.45%	1	Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - DS3	n/a	0.00%		Insufficient Sample
Failure Frequency (Trouble Report Rate) - VGPL	0.88%	2.54%	Yes	The state of the s
Failure Frequency (Trouble Report Rate) - ISDN	0.64%	5.04%	Yes	
Failure Frequency (Trouble Report Rate) - DDS	n/a	0.36%	. 03	Insufficient Sample
Failure Frequency (Trouble Report Rate) - DS1	n/a	33.72%		Insufficient Sample
Failure Frequency (Trouble Report Rate) - DS3	n/a	14.29%		Insufficient Sample

bundled Network Elements (UNE) - Provisioning				
	CLEC			COMMENTS
Average Installation Interval (Days) - 2 Wire Analog *	8.29			
Average Installation Interval (Days) - DS1 Loop *	4.17			Insufficient Sample
Average Installation Interval (Days) - 2 Wire Digital *	7.00			Insufficient Sample
Average Installation Interval (Days) - Analog Port *	n/a			Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 3 Days - 2 Wire Analog *	15.38%			
% Installations Completed Within in 3 Days - DS1 Loop *	16.67%		ł	Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 3 Days - 2 Wire Digital *	0.00%			Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 2 Days - Analog Port *	n/a			Insufficient Sample
* NOTE: These results are preliminary and subject to change upon further validation	ation.	4		
	CLEC	SWBT	PARITY	
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - BRI Loop - ISDN	n/a	3.17%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - BRI Loop - VGPL	4.62%	4.65%	Yes	
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - DS1 Loop	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - BRI Loop - ISDN	n/a	9.23%		Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - BRI Loop - VGPL	3.68%	1.88%	No	Feb, Mar, May, June 98 within parity
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - DS1 Loop	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates Due to Lack of Facilities - BRI Loop - ISDN	n/a	1.59%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates Due to Lack of Facilities - BRI Loop - VGPL	0.00%	0.52%	Yes	
% SWBT Missed Due Dates Due to Lack of Facilities - DS1 Loop	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - BRI Loop - ISDN	n/a	2.00		Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - BRI Loop - VGPL	n/a	3.00		Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - DS1 Loop	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample

	CLEC	SWBT	PARITY	COMMENTS
Trouble Report Rate (%) - BRI Loop - ISDN	0.00%	5.04%		Insufficient Sample
Trouble Report Rate (%) - BRI Loop - VGPL	1.37%	2.54%	Yes	
Trouble Report Rate (%) - DS1 Loop	1.36%	33.72%	Yes	
% Missed Repair Commitments - 2 Wire Analog - 8dB Loop	53.85%	9.77%		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - BRI Loop - ISDN (Dispatch)	n/a	16.99		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - BRI Loop - VGPL (Dispatch)	5.67	8.33		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DS1 Loop (Dispatch)	n/a	102.99		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - BRI Loop - ISDN (No Dispatch)	n/a	24.90		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - BRI Loop - VGPL (No Dispatch)	1.35	4.86		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DS1 Loop (No Dispatch)	n/a	6.13	j	Insufficient Sample
% Out of Service (OOS) <24 Hours - 2 Wire Analog - 8dB Loop	46.15%	96.19%		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - BRI Loop - ISDN	n/a	1.52%		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - BRI Loop - VGPL	9.52%	5.26%		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - DS1 Loop	9.52%	3.45%	į.	Insufficient Sample

Interim Number Portability (INP)				
		Result		COMMENTS
Percent Installations Completed With	in in 3 Days	21.06%		
Percent Installations Completed With	in in 7 Days	73.89%		
Percent Installations Completed With	in in 10 Days	76.85%		
Average Installation Interval (Days)		5,60		
Percent Trouble Reports within 30 Da	ys	0.00%	§	j
Percent Missed Due Dates		0.00%		

	Result			COMMENTS
Percent Trunk Blockage - SWBT End Office to CLEC End Office	n/a			
Percent Trunk Blockage - SWBT Tandem to CLEC End Office	0.00%			
Percent Trunk Blockage - SWBT End Office to SWBT End Office	2.37%			
Percent Trunk Blockage - Between SWBT End Office and Tandem (2 Way)	n/a			
Percent Trunk Blockage - SWBT End Office to SWBT Tandem	0.01%	1		
Percent Trunk Blockage - SWBT Tandem to SWBT End Office	0.01%			
Common Transport Trunk Blockage (% of Trunk Groups with > 2% Blockage)	0.00%		i	
	CLEC	SWBT		COMMENTS
Percent Missed Due Dates - CLEC to SWBT Trunking	0.0%	100.0%		
Percent Missed Due Dates - SWBT to CLEC Trunking	0.0%	100.0%		
Average Trunk Restoral Interval - CLEC to SWBT Trunking	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
Average Trunk Restoral Interval - SWBT to CLEC Trunking	18.50	n/a		Insufficient Sample

·	CLEC	SWBT	PARITY	COMMENTS
Mean Installation Interval - Field Work - Residence	2.26	2.83	Yes	
Mean Installation Interval - Field Work - Business	2.58	3.26	Yes	
Mean Installation Interval - No Field Work - Residence	1.31	0.91	No	Appears CLEC Requested Due Dates Greater than Offered Date
Mean Installation Interval - No Field Work - Business	1.55	0.78	No	Appears CLEC Requested Due Dates Greater than Offered Date
Mean Installation Interval - UNE Combos	n/a	2.97		Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 5 Days - Field Work - Residence	97.68%	93.87%	Yes	
% Installations Completed Within in 5 Days - Field Work - Business	94.42%	91.29%	Yes	
% Installations Completed Within in 3 Days - No Field Work - Residence	98.67%	97.79%	Yes	
% Installations Completed Within in 3 Days - No Field Work - Business	93.46%	96.12%	No_	Appears CLEC Requested Due Dates Greater than Offered Dat
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - Field Work - Residence	5.07%	5.87%	Yes	
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - Field Work - Business	8.98%	6.27%	No	Oct 97 - June 98 within parity
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - No Field Work - Residence	0.03%	0.03%	Yes	
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - No Field Work - Business	0.14%	0.23%	Yes	
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - Residence	4.32%	4.91%	Yes	
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - Business	7.81%	5.38%	No	Oct 97 - June 98 within parity
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities >30 Days - Residence	13.04%	9.70%	ĺ	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities >30 Days - Business	15.00%	12.05%	1	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities >90 Days - Residence	0.00%	1.62%	i	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities >90 Days - Business	0.00%	1.20%	į	Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - Residence	11.17	13.94		Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - Business	11.25	15.31		Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Reports within 10 Days - Field Work - Residence	6.19%	4.40%	No	Jan 98 - June 98 within parity
% Trouble Reports within 10 Days - Field Work - Business	1.95%	2.38%	Yes	
% Trouble Reports within 10 Days - No Field Work - Residence	1.62%	1.94%	Yes	
% Trouble Reports within 10 Days - No Field Work - Business	0.65%	1.75%	Yes	

DTS - Maintenance	a. = -			0.0141451170
	CLEC	SWBT	PARITY	COMMENTS
Trouble Report Rate (%) - Residence	3.01%	3.45%	Yes	
Trouble Report Rate (%) - Business	1.31%	1.73%	Yes	
% Missed Repair Commitments - Dispatch - Residence	6.90%	7.77%	Yes	
% Missed Repair Commitments - Dispatch - Business	9.40%	13.46%	Yes	
% Missed Repair Commitments - No Dispatch - Residence	8.02%	5.56%	No	Under Investigation
% Missed Repair Commitments - No Dispatch - Business	12.28%	11.61%	Yes	
Receipt To Clear Duration - Affecting Service - Dispatch - Residence	23.63	25.85	Yes	
Receipt To Clear Duration - Affecting Service - Dispatch - Business	12.51	21.20	Yes	
Receipt To Clear Duration - Affecting Service - No Dispatch - Residence	9.43	7.83		Insufficient Sample
Receipt To Clear Duration - Affecting Service - No Dispatch - Business	7.32	4.70	1	Insufficient Sample
Receipt To Clear Duration - Out of Service - Dispatch - Residence	21.48	18.03	No	Jan 98 - June 98 within parity
Receipt To Clear Duration - Out of Service - Dispatch - Business	12.11	13.17	Yes	
Receipt To Clear Duration - Out of Service - No Dispatch - Residence	8.21	10.08	Yes	
Receipt To Clear Duration - Out of Service - No Dispatch - Business	5.38	6.21	Yes	
% Out of Service (OOS) <24 Hours - Residence	79.15%	85.67%	No	Under Investigation
% Out of Service (OOS) <24 Hours - Business	92.14%	89.48%	Yes	
% Repeat Reports - Residence	5.67%	7.72%	Yes	
% Repeat Reports - Business	6.58%	6.41%	Yes	

Specials - Provisioning	CLEC	SWBT	PARITY	COMMENTS
Average Installation Interval - VGPL	5.10	T	1	
Average Installation Interval - ISDN	5.00	1	Ì	Insufficient Sample
Average Installation Interval - DDS	n/a	ł	j	Insufficient Sample
Average Installation Interval - DS1	6.50	1	[Insufficient Sample
Average Installation Interval - DS3	n/a	1		Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 20 Days - VGPL	100.00%	<u> </u>	 	
% Installations Completed Within in 20 Days - ISDN	100.00%		1	Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 20 Days - DDS	n/a		Ì	Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 20 Days - DS1	100.00%	1	}	Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 20 Days - DS3	n/a		1	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - VGPL	0.00%	0.87%	Yes	
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - ISDN	0.00%	3.17%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - DDS	n/a	3.64%	1	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - DS1	n/a	n/a	ł	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - DS3	n/a	14.29%	1	Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - VGPL	0.00%	1.71%	 	Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - ISDN	0.00%	10.26%		Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - DDS	n/a	0.00%	1	Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - DS1	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - DS3	n/a	0.00%	ł	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - VGPL	0.00%	0.00%	Yes	modificioni cumpio
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - ISDN	0.00%	3.17%	, 03	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - DDS	n/a	0.00%	I	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - DS1	n/a	n/a	1	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - DS3	n/a	0.00%	j	Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - VGPL		0.00 /s		Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - ISDN	n/a	5.25	ł	Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - DDS	n/a	n/a	l	Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - DS1	n/a	n/a	İ	Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - DS3	n/a	n/a	1	Insufficient Sample
pecials - Maintenance	CLEC	SWBT	PARITY	COMMENTS
Mean Time to Restore - VGPL (Dispatch)	5.04	19.68	FANIT	Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - ISDN (Dispatch)	n/a	15.03		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DDS (Dispatch)	n/a	4.38	[Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DS1 (Dispatch)	,	1		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DS3 (Dispatch)	n/a n/a	n/a 20.04		
Mean Time to Restore - VGPL (No Dispatch)	4.75	20.00	}	Insufficient Sample Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - ISDN (No Dispatch)	0.38			•
Mean Time to Restore - ISBN (No Dispatch)		11.62		Insufficient Sample
	n/a	17.86		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DS1 (No Dispatch) Mean Time to Restore - DS3 (No Dispatch)	n/a	11.10		Insufficient Sample
	n/a	30.09	L	Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - VGPL	25.00%	3.36%		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - ISDN	0.00%	2.44%		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - DDS	n/a	0.00%		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - DS1	n/a	0.00%		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - DS3	n/a	0.00%		Insufficient Sample
Failure Frequency (Trouble Report Rate) - VGPL	0.50%	2.82%	Yes	
Failure Frequency (Trouble Report Rate) - ISDN	8.33%	6.15%		Insufficient Sample
Failure Frequency (Trouble Report Rate) - DDS	0.00%	0.19%		Insufficient Sample
Failure Frequency (Trouble Report Rate) - DS1	n/a	5.56%		Insufficient Sample
Failure Frequency (Trouble Report Rate) - DS3	n/a	13.21%		Insufficient Sample

bundled Network Elements (UNE) - Provisioning				
	CLEC			COMMENTS
Average Installation Interval (Days) - 2 Wire Analog *	12.00			Insufficient Sample
Average Installation Interval (Days) - DS1 Loop *	n/a			Insufficient Sample
Average Installation Interval (Days) - 2 Wire Digital *	n/a			Insufficient Sample
Average Installation Interval (Days) - Analog Port *	n/a			Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 3 Days - 2 Wire Analog *	0.00%			Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 3 Days - DS1 Loop *	n/a		İ	Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 3 Days - 2 Wire Digital *	n/a			Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 2 Days - Analog Port *	n/a			Insufficient Sample
* NOTE: These results are preliminary and subject to change upon further validat	ion.			
	CLEC	SWBT	PARITY	
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - BRI Loop - ISDN	n/a	3.17%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - BRI Loop - VGPL	n/a	0.87%		insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - DS1 Loop	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - BRI Loop - ISDN	n/a	10.26%		Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - BRI Loop - VGPL	n/a	1.71%		Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - DS1 Loop	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates Due to Lack of Facilities - BRI Loop - ISDN	n/a	3.17%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates Due to Lack of Facilities - BRI Loop - VGPL	n/a	0.00%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates Due to Lack of Facilities - DS1 Loop	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - BRI Loop - ISDN	n/a	5.25		Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - BRI Loop - VGPL	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - DS1 Loop	n/a	n/a	1	Insufficient Sample

nbundled Network Elements (UNE) - Maintenance					
	CLEC	SWBT	PARITY	COMMENTS	
Trouble Report Rate (%) - BRI Loop - ISDN	n/a	6.15%		Insufficient Sample	,
Trouble Report Rate (%) - BRI Loop - VGPL	n/a	2.82%		Insufficient Sample	
Trouble Report Rate (%) - DS1 Loop	n/a	5.56%		Insufficient Sample	
% Missed Repair Commitments - 2 Wire Analog - 8dB Loop	n/a	7.77%		Insufficient Sample	
Mean Time to Restore - BRI Loop - ISDN (Dispatch)	n/a	15.03		Insufficient Sample	
Mean Time to Restore - BRI Loop - VGPL (Dispatch)	n/a	19.68		Insufficient Sample	
Mean Time to Restore - DS1 Loop (Dispatch)	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample	
Mean Time to Restore - BRI Loop - ISDN (No Dispatch)	n/a	11.62		Insufficient Sample	
Mean Time to Restore - BRI Loop - VGPL (No Dispatch)	n/a	20. 0 0		Insufficient Sample	
Mean Time to Restore - DS1 Loop (No Dispatch)	n/a	11.10		Insufficient Sample	
% Out of Service (OOS) <24 Hours - 2 Wire Analog - 8dB Loop	n/a	85.67%		Insufficient Sample	
% Repeat Reports - BRI Loop - ISDN	n/a	2.44%		Insufficient Sample	*
% Repeat Reports - BRI Loop - VGPL	n/a	3.36%	į	Insufficient Sample	
% Repeat Reports - DS1 Loop	n/a	0.00%		Insufficient Sample	

Interi	n Number Portability (INP)		
1		Result	 COMMENTS
₽	ercent Installations Completed Within in 3 Days	0.86%	
P	ercent Installations Completed Within in 7 Days	20.26%	
P	ercent Installations Completed Within in 10 Days	42.24%	<u> </u>
A	verage Installation Interval (Days)	10.10	
 Pe	ercent Trouble Reports within 30 Days	0.00%	
Į P€	ercent Missed Due Dates	0.00%	

terconnection Trunks				
	Result			COMMENTS
Percent Trunk Blockage - SWBT End Office to CLEC End Office	n/a			
Percent Trunk Blockage - SWBT Tandem to CLEC End Office	0.00%			
Percent Trunk Blockage - SWBT End Office to SWBT End Office	0.22%		ļ	
Percent Trunk Blockage - Between SWBT End Office and Tandem (2 Way)	n/a			
Percent Trunk Blockage - SWBT End Office to SWBT Tandem	0.00%		j	
Percent Trunk Blockage - SWBT Tandem to SWBT End Office	0.00%			
Common Transport Trunk Blockage (% of Trunk Groups with > 2% Blockage)	0.55%			
	CLEC	SWBT		COMMENTS
Percent Missed Due Dates - CLEC to SWBT Trunking	n/a	14.8%		Insufficient Sample
Percent Missed Due Dates - SWBT to CLEC Trunking	n/a	14.8%		Insufficient Sample
Average Trunk Restoral Interval - CLEC to SWBT Trunking	n/a	21.01		Insufficient Sample
Average Trunk Restoral Interval - SWBT to CLEC Trunking	n/a	21.01		Insufficient Sample

-	CLEC	SWBT	PARITY	COMMENTS
Mean Installation Interval - Field Work - Residence	1.74	2.64	Yes	
Mean Installation Interval - Field Work - Business	1.95	2.74	Yes	
Mean Installation Interval - No Field Work - Residence	1.35	0.82	No	Appears CLEC Requested Due Dates Greater than Offered Date
Mean Installation Interval - No Field Work - Business	1.84	0.83	No	Appears CLEC Requested Due Dates Greater than Offered Date
Mean Installation Interval - UNE Combos	n/a	2.67		Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 5 Days - Field Work - Residence	98.88%	94.40%	Yes	
% Installations Completed Within in 5 Days - Field Work - Business	100.00%	93.18%	Yes	
% Installations Completed Within in 3 Days - No Field Work - Residence	97.85%	97.94%	Yes	
% Installations Completed Within in 3 Days - No Field Work - Business	87.09%	95.54%	No	Appears CLEC Requested Due Dates Greater than Offered Date
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - Field Work - Residence	1.67%	5.21%	Yes	
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - Field Work - Business	0.00%	3.55%	Yes	
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - No Field Work - Residence	0.05%	0.03%	Yes	
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - No Field Work - Business	0.43%	0.21%	No	Under Investigation
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - Residence	0.67%	4.01%	Yes	
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - Business	0.00%	2.40%	Yes	
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities >30 Days - Residence	0.00%	3.94%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities >30 Days - Business	n/a	14.12%	j	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities >90 Days - Residence	0.00%	0.00%]	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities >90 Days - Business	n/a	1.18%	}	Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - Residence	4.00	8.61		Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - Business	n/a	15.12	_]	Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Reports within 10 Days - Field Work - Residence	3.01%	2.76%	Yes	
% Trouble Reports within 10 Days - Field Work - Business	0.00%	1.69%	Yes	
% Trouble Reports within 10 Days - No Field Work - Residence	2.21%	1.30%	No	Under Investigation
% Trouble Reports within 10 Days - No Field Work - Business	0.32%	1.14%	Yes	

	CLEC	SWBT	PARITY	COMMENTS
Trouble Report Rate (%) - Residence	5.52%	4.02%	No	Under Investigation
Trouble Report Rate (%) - Business	1.09%	2.00%	Yes	
% Missed Repair Commitments - Dispatch - Residence	7.89%	10.87%	Yes	
% Missed Repair Commitments - Dispatch - Business	13.64%	10.51%		Insufficient Sample
% Missed Repair Commitments - No Dispatch - Residence	12.24%	7.00%	No	Under Investigation
% Missed Repair Commitments - No Dispatch - Business	50.00%	10.25%		Insufficient Sample
Receipt To Clear Duration - Affecting Service - Dispatch - Residence	27.25	34.68	Yes	
Receipt To Clear Duration - Affecting Service - Dispatch - Business	37.71	21.10	ł	Insufficient Sample
Receipt To Clear Duration - Affecting Service - No Dispatch - Residence	1.18	9.91	İ	Insufficient Sample
Receipt To Clear Duration - Affecting Service - No Dispatch - Business	n/a	6.75		Insufficient Sample
Receipt To Clear Duration - Out of Service - Dispatch - Residence	18.72	21.06	Yes	
Receipt To Clear Duration - Out of Service - Dispatch - Business	8.06	13.91		Insufficient Sample
Receipt To Clear Duration - Out of Service - No Dispatch - Residence	18.61	14.16	j.	Insufficient Sample
Receipt To Clear Duration - Out of Service - No Dispatch - Business	n/a	8.85		Insufficient Sample
% Out of Service (OOS) <24 Hours - Residence	74.38%	78.29%	No	Under Investigation
% Out of Service (OOS) <24 Hours - Business	100.00%	88.19%	i _	Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - Residence	7.72%	7.61%	Yes	
% Repeat Reports - Business	18.75%	8.20%		Insufficient Sample

Specials - Provisioning	CLEC	SWBT	PARITY	COMMENTS
Average Installation Interval - VGPL	7.41	T	T	
Average Installation Interval - ISDN	5.00	l	ļ	Insufficient Sample
Average Installation Interval - DDS	n/a			Insufficient Sample
Average Installation Interval - DS1	n/a	1		Insufficient Sample
Average Installation Interval - DS3	n/a	{		Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 20 Days - VGPL	100.00%	+		
% Installations Completed Within in 20 Days - ISDN	100.00%	1	1	Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 20 Days - DDS	n/a	1	1	Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 20 Days - DS1	n/a	ł		Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 20 Days - DS3	n/a	1		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - VGPL	0.00%	1.38%	Yes	
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - ISDN	0.00%	1.13%	,	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - DDS	n/a	0.00%	1	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - DS1	n/a	0.00%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - DS3	n/a	n/a	1	Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - VGPL	0.00%	2.19%	 	Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - ISDN	0.00%	4.53%		Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - DDS	n/a	0.08%	1	Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - DS1	n/a	0.00%		Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - DS3	n/a	n/a	(Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - VGPL	0.00%	0.73%	Yes	Thompson Cumpo
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - ISDN	0.00%	0.28%	(163	Insufficient Sample
% SWB1 Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - DDS	n/a	0.00%	ĺ	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - DS1	n/a	0.00%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - DS3	n/a	n/a	Í	Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - VGPL	n/a	1.00	 	Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - ISDN	n/a	7.00	ļ	Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - DDS	n/a	n/a	1	Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - DS1	n/a	n/a]	Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - DS3	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
pecials - Maintenance	CLEC	SWBT	PARITY	COMMENTS
Mean Time to Restore - VGPL (Dispatch)	4.76	19.19	FARIT	Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - ISDN (Dispatch)	n/a	21.77	}	Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DDS (Dispatch)	n/a	7.08		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DS1 (Dispatch)	1	5.53	}	Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DS3 (Dispatch)	n/a			•
Mean Time to Restore - DS3 (Dispatch)	n/a	290.50 25.79	 	Insufficient Sample
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.41		ľ	Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - ISDN (No Dispatch)	n/a	14.12	}	Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DDS (No Dispatch)	n/a	16.85	ĺ	Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DS1 (No Dispatch)	n/a	2.16	(Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DS3 (No Dispatch)	n/a	359.07	<u> </u>	Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - VGPL	25.00%	4.68%		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - ISDN	n/a	5.09%		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - DDS	n/a	2.99%		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - DS1	n/a	0.00%		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - DS3	n/a	0.00%		Insufficient Sample
Failure Frequency (Trouble Report Rate) - VGPL	0.76%	3.50%	Yes	
Failure Frequency (Trouble Report Rate) - ISDN	0.00%	5.66%	Yes	
Failure Frequency (Trouble Report Rate) - DDS	n/a	0.30%		Insufficient Sample
Failure Frequency (Trouble Report Rate) - DS1	0.00%	13.43%		Insufficient Sample
Failure Frequency (Trouble Report Rate) - DS3	n/a	50.00%		Insufficient Sample

bundled Network Elements (UNE) - Provisioning				
	CLEC			COMMENTS
Average Installation Interval (Days) - 2 Wire Analog *	8.89			
Average Installation Interval (Days) - DS1 Loop *	16.00		į.	Insufficient Sample
Average Installation Interval (Days) - 2 Wire Digital *	33.00			Insufficient Sample
Average Installation Interval (Days) - Analog Port *	n/a			Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 3 Days - 2 Wire Analog *	34.09%			
% Installations Completed Within in 3 Days - DS1 Loop *	0.00%		ł	Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 3 Days - 2 Wire Digital *	0.00%			Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 2 Days - Analog Port *	n/a			Insufficient Sample
* NOTE: These results are preliminary and subject to change upon further validation	tion.			
	CLEC	SWBT	PARITY	
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - BRI Loop - ISDN	0.00%	1.13%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - BRI Loop - VGPL	0.00%	1.38%	Yes	
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - DS1 Loop	0.00%	0.00%		Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - BRI Loop - ISDN	0.00%	4.53%		Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - BRI Loop - VGPL	0.00%	2.19%	Yes	
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - DS1 Loop	0.00%	0.00%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates Due to Lack of Facilities - BRI Loop - ISDN	0.00%	0.28%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates Due to Lack of Facilities - BRI Loop - VGPL	0.00%	0.73%	Yes	
6 SWBT Missed Due Dates Due to Lack of Facilities - DS1 Loop	0.00%	0.00%		Insufficient Sample
verage Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - BRI Loop - ISDN	n/a	7.00		Insufficient Sample
verage Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - BRI Loop - VGPL	n/a	1.00	ł	Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - DS1 Loop	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample

	CLEC	SWBT	PARITY	COMMENTS
Trouble Report Rate (%) - BRI Loop - ISDN	4.17%	5.66%	Yes	
Trouble Report Rate (%) - BRI Loop - VGPL	2.67%	3.50%	Yes	
Trouble Report Rate (%) - DS1 Loop	2.80%	13.43%	Yes	
% Missed Repair Commitments - 2 Wire Analog - 8dB Loop	55.56%	10.87%		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - BRI Loop - ISDN (Dispatch)	0.40	21.77		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - BRI Loop - VGPL (Dispatch)	7.39	19.19	Yes	
Mean Time to Restore - DS1 Loop (Dispatch)	5.71	5.53	[Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - BRI Loop - ISDN (No Dispatch)	n/a	14.12		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - BRI Loop - VGPL (No Dispatch)	8.92	25.79	1	Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DS1 Loop (No Dispatch)	n/a	2.16	_	Insufficient Sample
% Out of Service (OOS) <24 Hours - 2 Wire Analog - 8dB Loop	44.44%	78.29%		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - BRI Loop - ISDN	50.00%	5.09%		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - BRI Loop - VGPL	7.27%	4.68%	Yes	
% Repeat Reports - DS1 Loop	10.00%	0.00%	Yes	

Interim Number Portability (INP)		
	Result	COMMENTS
Percent Installations Completed Within in 3 Days	0.64%	
Percent Installations Completed Within in 7 Days	35.90%	
Percent Installations Completed Within in 10 Days	80.13%	}
Average Installation Interval (Days)	9.60	
Percent Trouble Reports within 30 Days	0.00%	
Percent Missed Due Dates	0.00%	

terconnection Trunks	Result			COMMENTS
Percent Trunk Blockage - SWBT End Office to CLEC End Office	n/a			
Percent Trunk Blockage - SWBT Tandem to CLEC End Office	0.00%			
Percent Trunk Blockage - SWBT End Office to SWBT End Office	0.01%		j	
Percent Trunk Blockage - Between SWBT End Office and Tandem (2 Way)	n/a			
Percent Trunk Blockage - SWBT End Office to SWBT Tandem	0.00%			
Percent Trunk Blockage - SWBT Tandem to SWBT End Office	0.01%		{	
Common Transport Trunk Blockage (% of Trunk Groups with > 2% Blockage)	0.55%		İ	
	CLEC	SWBT		COMMENTS
Percent Missed Due Dates - CLEC to SWBT Trunking	n/a	40.3%		Insufficient Sample
Percent Missed Due Dates - SWBT to CLEC Trunking	0.0%	40.3%	j	
Average Trunk Restoral Interval - CLEC to SWBT Trunking	n/a	94.17		Insufficient Sample
Average Trunk Restoral Interval - SWBT to CLEC Trunking	24.02	94.17		Insufficient Sample

OTS - Provisioning				
	CLEC	SWBT	PARITY	COMMENTS
Mean Installation Interval - Field Work - Residence	1.71	2.66	Yes	
Mean Installation Interval - Field Work - Business	1.84	2.85	Yes	
Mean Installation Interval - No Field Work - Residence	1.47	0.91	No	Appears CLEC Requested Due Dates Greater than Offered Date
Mean Installation Interval - No Field Work - Business	2.52	1.00	No	Appears CLEC Requested Due Dates Greater than Offered Date
Mean Installation Interval - UNE Combos	n/a	2.71		Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 5 Days - Field Work - Residence	98.44%	93.93%	Yes	
% Installations Completed Within in 5 Days - Field Work - Business	100.00%	90.42%	Yes	
% Installations Completed Within in 3 Days - No Field Work - Residence	97.68%	97.81%	Yes	
% Installations Completed Within in 3 Days - No Field Work - Business	92.24%	95.42%	No	Appears CLEC Requested Due Dates Greater than Offered Dat
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - Field Work - Residence	3.86%	6.99%	Yes	
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - Field Work - Business	0.00%	7.00%	Yes	
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - No Field Work - Residence	0.03%	0.08%	Yes	
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - No Field Work - Business	0.04%	0.33%	Yes	
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - Residence	1.39%	4.12%	Yes	
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - Business	0.00%	3.92%	Yes	
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities >30 Days - Residence	0.00%	7.35%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities >30 Days - Business	n/a	10.00%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities >90 Days - Residence	0.00%	0.36%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities >90 Days - Business	n/a	1.90%		Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - Residence	11.67	12.67		Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - Business	n/a	14.42	ļ	Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Reports within 10 Days - Field Work - Residence	3.71%	3.72%	Yes	
% Trouble Reports within 10 Days - Field Work - Business	2.08%	2.32%	Yes	
% Trouble Reports within 10 Days - No Field Work - Residence	2.87%	1.65%	No	Under Investigation
% Trouble Reports within 10 Days - No Field Work - Business	0.34%	1.59%	Yes	•

OTS - Maintenance				
	CLEC	SWBŢ	PARITY	COMMENTS
Trouble Report Rate (%) - Residence	7.88%	2.94%	No	Under Investigation
Trouble Report Rate (%) - Business	0.35%	1.69%	Yes	
% Missed Repair Commitments - Dispatch - Residence	5.51%	8.45%	Yes	
% Missed Repair Commitments - Dispatch - Business	27.12%	13.73%	No	Oct 97 - May 98 within parity
% Missed Repair Commitments - No Dispatch - Residence	6.09%	6.30%	Yes	
% Missed Repair Commitments - No Dispatch - Business	12.50%	11.72%		Insufficient Sample
Receipt To Clear Duration - Affecting Service - Dispatch - Residence	22.33	22.51		Insufficient Sample
Receipt To Clear Duration - Affecting Service - Dispatch - Business	12.59	14.06		Insufficient Sample
Receipt To Clear Duration - Affecting Service - No Dispatch - Residence	15.59	8.87		Insufficient Sample
Receipt To Clear Duration - Affecting Service - No Dispatch - Business	1,23	5.12		Insufficient Sample
Receipt To Clear Duration - Out of Service - Dispatch - Residence	15.66	19.00	Yes	
Receipt To Clear Duration - Out of Service - Dispatch - Business	12.91	11.06	Yes	
Receipt To Clear Duration - Out of Service - No Dispatch - Residence	6.78	10.98	Yes	
Receipt To Clear Duration - Out of Service - No Dispatch - Business	1.51	6.11		Insufficient Sample
% Out of Service (OOS) <24 Hours - Residence	84.75%	84.05%	Yes	
% Out of Service (OOS) <24 Hours - Business	93.22%	92.12%	Yes	
% Repeat Reports - Residence	5.24%	8.56%	Yes	
% Repeat Reports - Business	17.86%	7.25%	No	Under Investigation

pecials - Provisioning	CLEC	SWBT	PARITY	COMMENTS
Average Installation Interval - VGPL	3.14		1	Insufficient Sample
Average Installation Interval - ISDN	5.33		į	Insufficient Sample
Average Installation Interval - DDS	n/a		i	Insufficient Sample
Average Installation Interval - DS1	n/a	1		Insufficient Sample
Average Installation Interval - DS3] n/a	}		Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 20 Days - VGPL	100.00%			Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 20 Days - ISDN	100.00%	1	1	Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 20 Days - DDS	n/a		1	Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 20 Days - DS1	n/a	t	Ţ	Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 20 Days - DS3	n/a		1	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - VGPL	0.00%	5.68%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - ISDN	25.00%	15.63%		Insufficient Sample
1% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - DDS	n/a	0.00%	ł	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - DS1	n/a	rva	1	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - DS3	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - VGPL	n/a	6.95%	†	Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - ISDN	0.00%	6.00%		Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - DDS	n/a	0.13%		Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - DS1	n/a	n/a	}	Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - DS3	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - VGPL	0.00%	2.21%	 	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - ISDN	33.33%	6.25%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - DDS	n/a	0.00%	[Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - DS1	n/a	0.00% n/a	1	Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates due to Lack of Facilities - DS3	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - VGPL	n/a	8.00	 	Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - ISDN	2.00	3.67	Ì	Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - ISDN Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - DDS	•		ļ	Insufficient Sample
	n/a	n/a	Ĭ	
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - DS1	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - DS3 ecials - Maintenance	n/a	n/a SWBT	PARITY	Insufficient Sample COMMENTS
Mean Time to Restore - VGPL (Dispatch)	9.25	11.19	PARIT	Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - VGPL (Dispatch)	5.70	9.05	:	·
Mean Time to Restore - ISBN (Dispatch)	1	9.59		Insufficient Sample
• • •	n/a			Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DS1 (Dispatch)	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DS3 (Dispatch)	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - VGPL (No Dispatch)	n/a	7.89		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - ISDN (No Dispatch)	n/a	13.64		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DDS (No Dispatch)	n/a	15.15		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DS1 (No Dispatch)	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DS3 (No Dispatch)	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - VGPL	0.00%	9.97%	1	Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - ISDN	0.00%	6.35%		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - DDS	n/a	1.15%		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - DS1	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - DS3	n/a	n/a		Insufficient Sample
Failure Frequency (Trouble Report Rate) - VGPL	0.43%	4.58%	Yes	
Failure Frequency (Trouble Report Rate) - ISDN	0.29%	6.16%	Yes	
Failure Frequency (Trouble Report Rate) - DDS	n/a	0.36%		Insufficient Sample
Failure Frequency (Trouble Report Rate) - DS1	0.00%	0.00%		Insufficient Sample
Failure Frequency (Trouble Report Rate) - DS3	n/a	0.00%		Insufficient Sample

	CLEC			COMMENTS
Average Installation Interval (Days) - 2 Wire Analog *	7.78			Insufficient Sample
Average Installation Interval (Days) - DS1 Loop *	8.18			
Average Installation Interval (Days) - 2 Wire Digital *	n/a		1	Insufficient Sample
Average Installation Interval (Days) - Analog Port *	n/a			Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 3 Days - 2 Wire Analog *	18.52%			Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 3 Days - DS1 Loop *	2.00%			
% Installations Completed Within in 3 Days - 2 Wire Digital *	n/a		l	Insufficient Sample
% Installations Completed Within in 2 Days - Analog Port *	n/a			Insufficient Sample
* NOTE: These results are preliminary and subject to change upon further validat	ion.			
	CLEC	SWBT	PARITY	
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - BRI Loop - ISDN	n/a	15.63%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - BRI Loop - VGPL	0.00%	5.68%	Yes	
% SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates - DS1 Loop	2.56%	n/a		
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - BRI Loop - ISDN	n/a	6.00%		Insufficient Sample
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - BRI Loop - VGPL	4.67%	6.95%	Yes	
% Trouble Report within 30 Days - DS1 Loop	8.82%	n/a		
% SWBT Missed Due Dates Due to Lack of Facilities - BRI Loop - ISDN	n/a	6.25%		Insufficient Sample
% SWBT Missed Due Dates Due to Lack of Facilities - BRI Loop - VGPL	0.00%	2.21%	Yes	
% SWBT Missed Due Dates Due to Lack of Facilities - DS1 Loop	0.00%	n/a		
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - BRI Loop - ISDN	n/a	3.67		Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - BRI Loop - VGPL	n/a	8.00	ł	Insufficient Sample
Average Delay Days due to Lack of Facilities - DS1 Loop	n/a	n/a	Ì	Insufficient Sample

	CLEC	SWBT	PARITY	COMMENTS
Trouble Report Rate (%) - BRI Loop - ISDN	0.00%	6.16%		Insufficient Sample
Trouble Report Rate (%) - BRI Loop - VGPL	1.35%	4.58%	Yes	
Trouble Report Rate (%) - DS1 Loop	1.71%	0.00%	Yes	
% Missed Repair Commitments - 2 Wire Analog - 8dB Loop	46.15%	8.45%		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - BRI Loop - ISDN (Dispatch)	n/a	9.05		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - BRI Loop - VGPL (Dispatch)	5.01	11.19	Ì	Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DS1 Loop (Dispatch)	3.03	n/a		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - BRI Loop - ISDN (No Dispatch)	n/a	13.64		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - BRI Loop - VGPL (No Dispatch)	2.56	7.89		Insufficient Sample
Mean Time to Restore - DS1 Loop (No Dispatch)	3.30	n/a		Insufficient Sample
% Out of Service (OOS) <24 Hours - 2 Wire Analog - 8dB Loop	53.85%	84.05%		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - BRI Loop - ISDN	n/a	6.35%		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - BRI Loop - VGPL	0.00%	9.97%		Insufficient Sample
% Repeat Reports - DS1 Loop	0.00%	n/a	1	Insufficient Sample

Interim Number Portability (INP)		
	Result	COMMENTS
Percent Installations Completed Within in 3 Days	8.83%	
Percent Installations Completed Within in 7 Days	41.70%	
Percent Installations Completed Within in 10 Days	47.00%	
Average Installation Interval (Days)	10.53	
Percent Trouble Reports within 30 Days	0.00%	
Percent Missed Due Dates	0.00%	

nterconnection Trunks			
	Result		COMMENTS
Percent Trunk Blockage - SWBT End Office to CLEC End Office	n/a		
Percent Trunk Blockage - SWBT Tandem to CLEC End Office	0.00%		
Percent Trunk Blockage - SWBT End Office to SWBT End Office	0.02%		
Percent Trunk Blockage - Between SWBT End Office and Tandem (2 Way)	n/a		
Percent Trunk Blockage - SWBT End Office to SWBT Tandem	0.14%		
Percent Trunk Blockage - SWBT Tandem to SWBT End Office	0.05%		,
Common Transport Trunk Blockage (% of Trunk Groups with > 2% Blockage)	1.10%		ì
	CLEC	SWBT	COMMENTS
Percent Missed Due Dates - CLEC to SWBT Trunking	0.0%	50.5%	
Percent Missed Due Dates - SWBT to CLEC Trunking	5.6%	50.5%	
Average Trunk Restoral Interval - CLEC to SWBT Trunking	n/a	11.16	Insufficient Sample
Average Trunk Restoral Interval - SWBT to CLEC Trunking	n/a	11.16	Insufficient Sample